

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/685,286 10/10/2000		0/10/2000	Anoop Tripathi	00-468	4563		
20306	7590	04/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		HNEN HULBER	RYMAN, I	RYMAN, DANIEL J			
300 S. WAG 32ND FLOG		/E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2665				
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	I	Applicant(s)					
			10.						
0	ffice Action Summary	09/685,286		TRIPATHI, ANOO	P ————————				
	mee Action Cammary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Daniel J. Rym		2665	latera a				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication apply	pears on the co	ver sneet with the co	orrespondence ad	aress				
THE MAILI  - Extensions of after SIX (6) I  - If the period f  - If NO period f  - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	nowever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from the on to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 J</u>	lanuary 2005.							
•		s action is non-	final.						
· · ·	· ·			secution as to the	merits is				
• —-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of	Claims								
•		ling in the appli	cation						
	Claim(s) <u>1-15,18-27,38-40 and 43</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·=									
·	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,18-27,38-40 and 43</u> is/are rejected.								
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
		<b>,</b>							
Application Pa			•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11)∐ The o	eath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Ackno	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)∏ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been re	eceived in Application	on No					
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents	s have been receive	d in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).						
* See th	e attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified	I copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)									
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	aπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08	5)	Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
	/Mail Date <u>1/4/2005</u> .		Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/685,286 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/4/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 19-24, Applicant argues that the cited combination does not teach "modifying a first signaling message to obtain a second signaling message by adding at least a path attribute that includes at least one network address corresponding to a backup proxy server." Examiner, respectfully, disagrees.
- Kumar discloses having standby server modules for server modules performing critical 2. functions, having client nodes detect a failure of an active server module, and having client nodes adjust their addressing to a standby module to resume normal operation (col. 2, lines 20-34, col. 4, lines 44-60; and col. 5, lines 12-67) in order to have a simplified changeover scheme (col. 3, lines 35-55). While Kumar discloses in one embodiment that the address of the backup server can be broadcast to all applicable clients in order to inform the applicable clients of the backup once the primary has failed (col. 5, lines 55-67), Kumar does not disclose modifying the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message by inserting the path attribute. Kadansky teaches inserting a path attribute in a signaling message, wherein the path attribute includes at least one network address corresponding to a backup in order to ensure quick and smooth re-affiliations (col. 27, lines 13-34). Thus, the combination of Kumar and Kadansky suggests modifying a first signaling message to obtain a second signaling message by inserting the path attribute, namely the address of the back-up server, in order to inform any applicable nodes of a possible back-up in case the primary server fails where this ensures quick and smooth re-affiliations.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,286 Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

3. Given the above arguments, Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims.

### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed 1/4/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

## Specification

5. Examiner requests that the application information seen on page 5, line 10-page 7, line 12 of the specification be updated in order to reflect the changes in the status of any of the applications.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 8-15, 18-21, 25-27, 38-40, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar (USPN 6,473,396) in further view of Kadansky et al (USPN 6,507,562).
- 8. Regarding claims 1 and 18, Applicant admits as prior art a system and method for providing fault tolerance in a network telephony system at a primary proxy server, the system comprising means for and the method comprising steps of: receiving a first signaling message

Art Unit: 2665

from a first network entity (first user agent) via a network (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29); determining a second network entity (second user agent) to which a second signaling message is to be transmitted (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29); and transmitting the second signaling message to the second network entity via the network (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).

Applicant does not disclose as prior art modifying the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message by inserting at least a path attribute, wherein the path attribute includes at least one network address corresponding to a backup proxy server. Kumar teaches, in a system for implementing module redundancy, having standby server modules for server modules performing critical functions, having client nodes detect a failure of an active server module, and having client nodes adjust their addressing to a standby module to resume normal operation (col. 2, lines 20-34) in order to have a simplified changeover scheme (col. 3, lines 35-55). Specifically, Kumar discloses that, when clients detect a failure of an active module indicated by the client not receiving an "echo" from an active module, the client adjusts its address table to replace the address of the failed active module with the predetermined address of a standby module (col. 5, lines 12-54). Kumar does not expressly disclose how this predetermined address is obtained; however, Kumar does disclose that the active module determines which standby module will take over for it if a failure occurs (col. 4, lines 44-60). Kumar also discloses that a server module can transmit the address to the clients (col. 5, lines 55-67). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit a path attribute (predetermined address) to the client modules by the active

serving module, wherein the path attribute includes at least one network address corresponding to a backup server (col. 5, lines 12-67) in order to have a simplified changeover scheme since the active serving module knows the standby server to which communications will be directed upon a failure (col. 4, lines 55-67) and since Kumar discloses that the server modules can transmit the address to the clients (col. 5, lines 12-67).

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar does not expressly disclose modifying the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message by inserting the path attribute. Kadansky teaches, in a system for providing backup of a node, inserting a path attribute in a signaling message, wherein the path attribute includes at least one network address corresponding to a backup in order to ensure quick and smooth re-affiliations (col. 27, lines 13-34). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message by inserting the path attribute in order to ensure quick and smooth re-affiliations.

- 9. Regarding claims 2 and 19, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the network telephony system is an Internet Protocol (IP) telephony system (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- 10. Regarding claims 3 and 20, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the network telephony system is an (Internet Protocol) IP telephony system in which calls are signaled according to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) signaling protocol, and wherein the first and second signaling messages are SIP messages

Art Unit: 2665

(Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).

Page 6

- 11. Regarding claims 4 and 21, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the path attribute includes an AlternatePath tag (Kumar: col. 5, lines 12-67 and Kadansky: col. 27, lines 13-34).
- 12. Regarding claims 8 and 25, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the second network entity is determined by accessing a location service (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- Regarding claims 9 and 26, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the second network entity is determined by examining the first signaling message (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- Regarding claim 10, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the receiver, the transmitter, the address resolver, and the assembler compose a SIP proxy server (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- 15. Regarding claim 11, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the receiver, the transmitter, the address resolver, and the assembler compose an MGCP media gateway controller (Applicant: page 2, lines 5-17).

Art Unit: 2665

16. Regarding claim 12, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the receiver, the transmitter, the address resolver, and the assembler compose an MEGACO decomposed media gateway (Applicant: page 2, lines 5-17).

Regarding claims 13 and 38, Applicant admits as prior art a backup proxy server and 17. method for use with a network telephony system, wherein the backup proxy server is associated with a primary proxy server (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29), the server comprising means for and the method comprising the steps of: receiving a first signaling message from a first network entity via a network (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29) where the backup proxy will receive a message from a first network entity when the primary proxy has failed, wherein the first signaling message includes at least one path attribute including a network address corresponding to the backup proxy server such that the backup proxy server receives the first signaling message instead of the primary proxy server (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29), where, as broadly defined, the first signaling message contains a network address corresponding to the backup server since the message reaches the backup server; determining a second network entity to which a second signaling message is to be transmitted (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29); and transmitting the second signaling message to the second network entity via the network (Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5, and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).

Applicant does not admit as prior art modifying a routing attribute in the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message, thereby enabling at least one of the first

Art Unit: 2665

network entity and the second network entity to route any subsequent signaling messages through the backup proxy server instead of through the primary proxy server. Kumar teaches, in a system for implementing module redundancy, having standby server modules for server modules performing critical functions, having client nodes detect a failure of an active server module, and having client nodes adjust their addressing to a standby module to resume normal operation (col. 2, lines 20-34) in order to have a simplified changeover scheme (col. 3, lines 35-55). Specifically, Kumar discloses that, when clients detect a failure of an active module indicated by the client not receiving an "echo" from an active module, the client adjusts its address table to replace the address of the failed active module with the predetermined address of a standby module (col. 5, lines 12-54). Kumar does not expressly disclose how this predetermined address is obtained; however, Kumar does disclose that the active module determines which standby module will take over for it if a failure occurs (col. 4, lines 44-60). Kumar also discloses that a server module can transmit the address to the clients (col. 5, lines 55-67). Kumar further discloses that a standby server that becomes an active server will select a new standby server (col. 4, lines 45-60). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit a path attribute (predetermined address) to the client modules by the active serving module (backup serving module that has become an active serving module), wherein the path attribute includes at least one network address corresponding to an additional backup server (col. 5, lines 12-67) in order to have a simplified changeover scheme since the active serving module knows the standby server to which communications will be directed upon a failure (col. 4, lines 55-67) and since Kumar discloses that the server modules can transmit the address to the clients (col. 5, lines 12-67).

Art Unit: 2665

Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar does not expressly disclose modifying a routing attribute in the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message. Kadansky teaches, in a system for providing backup of a node, modifying a routing attribute in the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message in order to ensure quick and smooth re-affiliations (col. 27, lines 13-34). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify a routing attribute in the first signaling message to obtain the second signaling message, thereby enabling at least one of the first network entity and the second network entity to route any subsequent signaling messages through the backup proxy server instead of through the primary proxy server, in order to ensure quick and smooth reaffiliations.

- 18. Regarding claims 14 and 39, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that the network telephony system is an Internet Protocol (IP) telephony system (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- 19. Regarding claims 15 and 40, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky discloses that that the network telephony system is an (Internet Protocol) IP telephony system in which calls are signaled according to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) signaling protocol, and wherein the first and second signaling messages are SIP messages (Applicant: Figs. 1-3; page 2, lines 5-29; page 4, line 1-page 5, line 5; and page 7, line 14-page 11, line 29).
- 20. Regarding claims 27 and 43, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky does not expressly disclose a computer readable medium including

Art Unit: 2665

instructions for executing the method of Claim 18 and Claim 38; however, Examiner takes official notice that instructions for a computer readable medium are well known in the art since software is more flexible than hardware. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the instructions in a computer readable medium since software is more flexible than hardware.

- Claims 5-7 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar (USPN 6,473,396) in further view of Kadansky et al (USPN 6,507,562) as applied to claims 4 and 21, above, and further in view of Lau et al (USPN 5,774,465).
- 22. Regarding claims 5 and 22, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky does not expressly disclose that the AltematePath tag is added to a header of the second signaling message (first signaling message in claim 34). Lau teaches, in a packet communication system, adding additional routing information to a packet through the use of a tag attached to the header (col. 2, lines 33-43 and col. 5, lines 10-29) where it is implicit that this is done in order to provide the additional routing information with the typical routing information in the header of the packet. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the AltematePath tag to a header of the second signaling message (first signaling message in claim 34) in order to provide the additional routing information with the typical routing information in the header of the packet.
- 23. Regarding claims 6 and 23, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further view of Kadansky in further view of Lau discloses that the header is selected from the group consisting of a Contact header, a Record-Route header, a Route header, and a Via header

ን

Art Unit: 2665

(Kumar: col. 5, lines 12-67; Kadansky: col. 27, lines 13-34; and Lau: col. 2, lines 33-43 and col. 5, lines 10-29) where the types of headers (i.e. "router header") can be broadly interpreted to include any header that contains address information.

Regarding claims 7 and 24, Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Kumar in further 24. view of Kadansky in further view of Lau discloses that the AlternatePath tag is added as an extension parameter to the header (Lau: col. 2, lines 33-43 and col. 5, lines 10-29).

#### **Conclusion**

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 25. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (571)272-3152. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-4:30 with every other Friday off.

Art Unit: 2665

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Ryman

Page 12

Examiner DR

Art Unit 2665

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**